Petroleum Science 21 (2024) 14-30

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Petroleum Science**

journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/petroleum-science

Original Paper

# Quantitative effect of kerogen type on the hydrocarbon generation potential of Paleogene lacustrine source rocks, Liaohe Western Depression, China

Sha-Sha Hui <sup>a, b</sup>, Xiong-Qi Pang <sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Fu-Jie Jiang <sup>a, b</sup>, Chen-Xi Wang <sup>a, b</sup>, Shu-Xing Mei <sup>a, b</sup>, Tao Hu <sup>a, b</sup>, Hong Pang <sup>a, b</sup>, Min Li <sup>a, b</sup>, Xiao-Long Zhou <sup>c</sup>, Kan-Yuan Shi <sup>a, b, \*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resources and Prospecting, China University of Petroleum (Beijing), Beijing, 102249, China

<sup>b</sup> College of Geosciences, China University of Petroleum (Beijing), Beijing, 102249, China

<sup>c</sup> Exploration and Development Research Institute, PetroChina Liaohe Oilfield Company, Panjin 124010, Liaoning, China

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 January 2023 Received in revised form 23 June 2023 Accepted 4 September 2023 Available online 9 September 2023

Edited by Jie Hao and Teng Zhu

Keywords: Kerogen type Hydrocarbon generation potential Lacustrine source rocks Liaohe western depression

# ABSTRACT

Kerogen types exert a decisive effect on the onset and capacity of hydrocarbon generation of source rocks. Lacustrine source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression are characterized by thick deposition, high total organic carbon (TOC) content, various kerogen types, and a wide range of thermal maturity. Consequently, their hydrocarbon generation potential and resource estimation can be misinterpreted. In this study, geochemical tests, numerical analysis, hydrocarbon generation kinetics, and basin modeling were integrated to investigate the differential effects of kerogen types on the hydrocarbon generation potential of lacustrine source rocks. Optimized hydrocarbon generation and expulsion (HGE) models of different kerogen types were established quantitatively upon abundant Rock-Eval/TOC/vitrinite reflectance (R<sub>o</sub>) datasets. Three sets of good-excellent source rocks deposited in the fourth (Es4), third (Es3), and first (Es1) members of Paleogene Shahejie Formation, are predominantly types I–II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>1</sub>–II<sub>2</sub>, and II -III, respectively. The activation energy of types  $I-II_2$  kerogen is concentrated (180–230 kcal/mol), whereas that of type III kerogen is widely distributed (150-280 kcal/mol). The original hydrocarbon generation potentials of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III kerogens are 790, 510, 270, and 85 mg/g TOC, respectively. The R<sub>0</sub> values of the hydrocarbon generation threshold for type I–III source rocks gradually increase from 0.42% to 0.74%, and  $R_0$  values of the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold increase from 0.49% to 0.87%. Types I and II<sub>1</sub> source rocks are characterized by earlier hydrocarbon generation, more rapid hydrocarbon expulsion, and narrower hydrocarbon generation windows than types II<sub>2</sub> and III source rocks. The kerogen types also affect the HGE history and resource potential. Three types (conventional, tight, and shale oil/gas) and three levels (realistic, expected, and prospective) of hydrocarbon resources of different members in the Liaohe Western Depression are evaluated. Findings suggest that the Es3 member has considerable conventional and unconventional hydrocarbon resources. This study can quantitatively characterize the hydrocarbon generation potential of source rocks with different kerogen types, and facilitate a quick and accurate assessment of hydrocarbon resources, providing strategies for future oil and gas exploration.

© 2023 The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Against the background of global increase in demand for resources and the limited energy supply, unconventional hydrocarbon resources are accorded wide-ranging emphasis in the international energy market (Jarvie et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2022a, 2022b; Shi et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). Paleogene lacustrine shales in the Bohai Bay Basin, serving as high-quality

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2023.09.004







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resources and Prospecting, China University of Petroleum (Beijing), Beijing, 102249, China.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author. State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resources and Prospecting, China University of Petroleum (Beijing), Beijing, 102249, China.

*E-mail addresses:* pangxq@cup.edu.cn (X.-Q. Pang), shikycup@163.com (K.-Y. Shi).

<sup>1995-8226/© 2023</sup> The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

source rocks, have provided a sufficient hydrocarbon supply for conventional reservoirs and have emerged as the primary focus of shale oil exploration in recent years (Zou et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022). However, lacustrine source rocks exhibit rapid changes in sedimentary facies, lithology, and source inputs, corresponding to great variations in the scale and thickness of source rocks, especially in kerogen types, which results in the inaccurate assessment of resource potential (Katz and Lin, 2014; Hu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2022). Lacustrine organic-rich shales with excellent quality but different kerogen types have considerably varying hydrocarbon generation and expulsion (HGE) characteristics (Chen et al., 2015). Therefore, studying the influence of different kerogen types on the hydrocarbon generation potential of lacustrine source rocks is conducive to resource potential assessment.

Considering the strong heterogeneity of lacustrine source rocks, a reliable and cost-effective method is required to quantify the HGE capacities of these source rocks with different kerogen types. Hydrocarbon generation kinetics and thermal simulation experiments are effective methods for investigating the HGE characteristics (Behar et al., 1992; Wei et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020; He et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2022). However, due to the complex chemical structure of kerogen, the hydrocarbon generation kinetics can vary greatly even for the same kerogen type, leading to great differences in hydrocarbon generation history (Tegelaar and Noble, 1994; Wang et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2019). Similarly, considering the heterogeneity of shale samples and the high experimental cost, the application of thermal simulation results to restore the HGE history under complex geological conditions must be considered carefully. Recently, Rock-Eval analysis has been widely used to study kerogen kinetics, providing a quick and effective evaluation method for source rocks (Bordenave et al., 1993; Chen et al., 2021). Based on the abundant and easily available Rock-Eval data, the hydrocarbon generation potential method was proposed to establish the HGE model of source rocks and quantitatively calculate the HGE amount (Pang et al., 2005). Additionally, in combination with the concept of dynamic fields, different types of hydrocarbon resources can be distinguished (Pang et al., 2020, 2021, 2022).

Previous studies have optimized the hydrocarbon generation potential method, such as restoring the original total organic carbon (TOC) content (Peng et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2019), calculating the evaporation loss of light hydrocarbons (Chen et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020), and correcting the maximum hydrocarbon generation curve (Li et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the influence of different kerogen types on the HGE model has rarely been studied, which also affects the HGE thresholds (Snowdon, 1991; Petersen et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2022). Additionally, the variation in the Rock-Eval/TOC datasets and vitrinite reflectance (*R*o) during the complete thermal evolution has not been considered in previous studies, which may lead to the miscalculation of original and residual hydrocarbon generation potential in the immature and mature/overmature stage.

In the Liaohe Western Depression, which is characterized by multicycle sedimentary evolution and unbalanced tectonic movement, three hydrocarbon-generating sags were successively formed from north to south, and three sets of large-scale and highquality lacustrine source rocks were developed (Hui et al., 2022, Fig. 1). The diverse kerogen types and wide distribution range of thermal maturity are favorable for studying the differential evolution of source rocks. This study aims to establish the HGE model of Paleogene lacustrine source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression under the complete maturity sequence using an optimized method and to investigate the differential influence of kerogen types on HGE capacities. Combined with the results of hydrocarbon generation kinetics and basin modeling, the HGE process of lacustrine source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression will be reconstructed. A more practical motivation is to improve the evaluation accuracy of conventional and unconventional hydrocarbon resources and assist oilfield in formulating better development strategies.

# 2. Geological setting

The Liaohe Western Depression is the largest oil-generating depression in the Liaohe Basin, covering an area of ~2530 km<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 1). It is a Mesozoic–Cenozoic rift depression, and includes nine secondary tectonic units (Zhang et al., 2013). The strata of the Paleogene Shahejie Formation (Es) includes Es4, Es3, Es2, and Es1 members from bottom to top (Fig. 2). Large-scale and high-quality source rocks were deposited in the Es4, Es3, and Es1 members, which provide sufficient hydrocarbon sources for the study area. The differential tectonic evolution resulted in a complex structure framework in the study area (Tong et al., 2008). During the deposition of the Es4 member, the Niuxintuo-Tai'an sag in the north was the sedimentary center, where thick oil shale and dark mudstone developed with a maximum thickness of 700 m (Fig. 3). During the deposition of the Es3 member, the Qingshui Sag in the south and Chenjia Sag in the middle were the sedimentary centers, where thick dark mudstone developed with a maximum thickness of 1800 and 1200 m, respectively. During the deposition of the Es1 member, the southern part of the depression developed a saline semideep lake environment, and the thickness of dark mudstone in the Qingshui Sag could reach 600 m (Hui et al., 2022).

## 3. Materials and methods

# 3.1. Samples and experiments

The dataset was collected from 70 wells in the Liaohe Western Depression, including 645 sets of TOC and Rock-Eval data, 550 sets of  $R_0$  data, 270 sets of maceral composition, 9 groups of kerogen kinetics, and 7 groups of hydrocarbon pyrolysis experiments. Most of the datasets were provided by PetroChina Liaohe Oilfield Company.

## 3.1.1. TOC and Rock-Eval pyrolysis

First, diluted hydrochloric acid was used to remove the inorganic carbon in the sample under laboratory conditions. The TOC was fully converted into carbon dioxide by high-temperature oxygen flow combustion and then detected using a LECO CSe400 analyzer to obtain the TOC content (GB/T 19145–2003). The Rock-Eval pyrolysis experiment was conducted on the Rock-Eval II instrument (GB/T 18602–2012). The sample was heated to 300 °C and 600 °C by hydrogen flow to obtain the amount of free hydrocarbon ( $S_1$ ) and pyrolysis hydrocarbon ( $S_2$ ), respectively. To ensure the effectiveness of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , the maximum temperature ( $T_{max}$ ) was maintained between 420 °C and 500 °C (Peters, 1986; Riediger et al., 2004).

#### 3.1.2. Determination of vitrinite reflectance

Vitrinite reflectance ( $R_o$ ) was measured using a Leica DM4500P polarizing microscope on highly polished rock samples under oil immersion conditions (according to Chinese Petroleum Industry Standard: SY/T 5124–1995). The measured objects are unstructured homogeneous vitrinite and matrix vitrinite, avoiding the interference of high-reflectivity substances such as pyrite. The measuring points were distributed as evenly as possible and were not less than 30 points for each sample. A standard sample was recalibrated after 2 h.




Fig. 1. Regional map showing the location (a), structure subdivision (b), and geological profile (c) of the Liaohe Western Depression, Bohai Bay Basin.





Fig. 2. Comprehensive stratigraphic column showing the stratigraphy, depositional environment, and structure evolution of the Liaohe Western Depression.



## 3.1.3. Organic petrographic analysis

The samples were crushed to 0.5–1 mm. Approximately 5 g of the sample and epoxy resin were placed in a cylindrical mold (diameter of 20–40 mm) at a ratio of 1:1 and stirred evenly. After curing, the epoxy resin was added to a height of ~12 mm. After standing for 24 h, the light sheet was taken out. The maceral observation was performed using a Leica microscope (DM6 M LIBS) in reflected and transmitted light (according to Chinese Petroleum Industry Standard: SY/T 6414–1999). The macerals were quantified by applying the point counting method, and the total effective points of each sample were maintained at no less than 800. The macerals identified in the source rock samples in the study area mainly included sapropelic amorphous, alginite, sapropelic debris, sporopollenin, structural vitrinite, unstructured vitrinite, and inertinite.

# 3.1.4. Hydrocarbon generation kinetics in an open system

The chemical kinetics model adopts the parallel first-order chemical reaction model (Braun and Burnham, 1987). During thermal evolution, the transformation of kerogen is described as a set of independent chemical processes. The reaction rate can be expressed as Eq. (1):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k(T)f(x) \tag{1}$$

where *x* represents the fraction of unreacted components; *t* represents the time; *T* represents the absolute temperature of the reaction; k(T) is the reaction rate constant; f(x) is a function of reacted mass.

The dependence of k(T) on temperature can be obtained by the Arrhenius equation, as shown in Eq. (2).

$$k(T) = A \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) \tag{2}$$

where *A* represents the pre-exponential or frequency factor; *E* represents the activation energy; *R* represents the gas constant.

## 3.2. Methods


Upon abundant Rock-Eval/TOC datasets of natural samples with different maturity, the variations of hydrogen index (*HI*) with thermal maturity index ( $T_{max}$  or  $R_0$ ) were analyzed to characterize the hydrocarbon generation potential. This is a data-driven approach supported by real data, as shown in Eq. (3) (Chen and Jiang, 2015; Li et al., 2020; Hui et al., 2023, Fig. 4a).

$$HI = HI_{0} \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{R_{0}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\theta_{1}}\right)\right) + c_{1}$$
(3)

where  $HI=(S_2/TOC) \times 100$ , mg/g TOC;  $HI_0$  is the original HI, mg/g TOC;  $\beta_1$  and  $\theta_1$  are the parameters related to hydrocarbon generation kinetics, which depends on the shape of the fitting curve;  $c_1$  is a constant; and  $c_1 = 0$  when the source rocks are immature.

By fitting the relationship between HI and  $T_{max}$  under a complete maturity sequence, optimal  $HI_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\theta_1$ , and  $c_1$  can be obtained to restore the hydrocarbon generation history. However, the real hydrocarbon generation potential ( $P_g$ ) should include three parts: the expelled hydrocarbons ( $Q_e$ ), the generated but retained hydrocarbons ( $S_1$ ), and the kerogen or residual organic matter (OM)

Fig. 3. Thickness and kerogen type of Shahejie source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression (modified from Hui et al. (2022)).



**Fig. 4.** Conceptual hydrocarbon generation and expulsion (HGE) model of source rocks. (a) Variation of H and  $T_{max}$  showing the hydrocarbon generation potential. (b) Optimized regression model showing the relationship between  $R_0$ , H, and GP. (c) Variation of  $T_R$  and  $E_R$  with increasing  $R_0$  showing the degree of HGE. (d) Variation of  $r_g$  and  $r_e$  with increasing  $R_0$  showing the rate of HGE.

(corresponding to  $S_2$ ), as shown in Eq. (4).

$$P_{\rm g} = S_1 + S_2 + Q_{\rm e} \tag{4}$$

The hydrocarbon generation potential index (*GPI*) is defined as  $(S_1 + S_2)/\text{TOC} \times 100$  and represents the hydrocarbon generation capacity of unit OM including the generated hydrocarbons and hydrocarbons that could be generated (Pang et al., 2005). The immature source rock has not yet generated hydrocarbons, and no free hydrocarbon ( $S_1$ ) is present in the pore. Therefore, the original *GPI* (*GPI*<sub>0</sub>) is equal to the original *HI* (*HI*<sub>0</sub>), as shown in Eq. (5).

$$GPI_0 = HI_0 \tag{5}$$

The  $T_{\text{max}}$  value is easily affected by the  $S_2$  value. Thus, the relationship between *GPI* and the thermal maturity index  $R_0$  was fitted using Origin software and numerical analysis to characterize the variations of hydrocarbon generation potential in this study (Fig. 4b), as shown in Eq. (6).

$$GPI = GPI_{0} \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{R_{0}}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{\theta_{2}}\right)\right) + c_{2}$$
(6)

During the thermal evolution process, kerogen is gradually transformed into hydrocarbons as thermal maturity increases. The extent to which kerogen is converted to hydrocarbons is known as the transformation ratio ( $T_R$ ) and can be expressed as the ratio between the generated hydrocarbons and the total hydrocarbon generation potential, as shown in Eq. (7) (Justwan and Dahl, 2005, Fig. 4c).  $T_R$  depends on the quality, type, and maturity of source rocks.

$$T_{\rm R} = \frac{S_1 + Q_e}{S_1 + S_2 + Q_e} = \frac{1200}{HI_0} \cdot \frac{HI_0 - HI}{1200 - HI}$$
(7)

With the increase in maturity, the generated hydrocarbons meet various retention requirements in the pores and begin to discharge as free phase, and the corresponding maturity or depth is called the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold (HET) (Pang et al., 2005). Similarly, the extent to which hydrocarbons are expelled from source rocks is defined as the expulsion ratio ( $E_R$ ). It represents the proportion of expelled hydrocarbons to the maximum generated hydrocarbon (Fig. 4c), as shown in Eq. (8).

$$E_{\rm R} = \frac{Q_{\rm e}}{S_1 + S_2 + Q_{\rm e}} = \frac{1200}{GPI_{\rm o}} \cdot \frac{GPI_{\rm o} - GPI}{1200 - GPI}$$
(8)

The hydrocarbon expulsion efficiency (f) represents the proportion of expelled hydrocarbons to generated hydrocarbons, as shown in Eq. (9).

$$f = \frac{Q_e}{S_1 + Q_e} = \frac{GPI_0 - GPI}{HI_0 - HI}$$
(9)

Additionally, the rock quality and organic carbon abundance of the source rock will decrease during thermal evolution, which is why the original TOC (TOC<sub>o</sub>) should be restored (Justwan and Dahl, 2005; Modica and Lapierre, 2012; Chen and Jiang, 2016). In this study, the TOC<sub>o</sub> is recovered by establishing the relationship between organic carbon loss and  $T_R$ , f, and TOC, as shown in Eq. (10) (for the derivation, see Chen and Jiang, 2016). The recovery coefficient (K) represents the proportion of TOC<sub>o</sub> to the measured TOC and can be obtained by Eq. (11).

$$TOC_{o} = \frac{TOC}{1 - \alpha \cdot f \cdot T_{R} \cdot \left(1 - 1.2 \cdot \frac{TOC}{100}\right)}$$
(10)

$$K = \frac{\text{TOC}_{o}}{\text{TOC}}$$
(11)

where the units of TOC<sub>o</sub> and TOC are in % and  $\alpha$  is related to kerogen type and represents the proportion of effective carbon to total carbon in the sample ( $\alpha = HI_0/1200$ ).

As thermal maturity increases, the source rock starts to generate hydrocarbons, which means that the hydrocarbon generation threshold (HGT) has been reached, corresponding to the decrease of the *HI* value in the HGE model (Fig. 4b). With the continuous increase in maturity, hydrocarbons begin to discharge when they reach the HET, and the *GPI* value begins to decrease in the model, which is regarded as the residual *GPI* (*GPI*<sub>r</sub>).

The hydrocarbon expulsion capacity  $(q_e)$  is determined by the  $GPI_o$  and  $GPI_p$ , as shown in Eq. (12). The maximum hydrocarbon generation capacity  $(q_g)$  is the amount of hydrocarbons that could be generated by unit TOC, which is equal to  $GPI_o$ .

$$q_{\rm e} = GPI_{\rm o} - GPI_{\rm r} \tag{12}$$

$$q_{\rm g} = GPI_{\rm o} \tag{13}$$

The hydrocarbon expulsion rate  $(r_e)$  is expressed as the variations of *GPI* per 0.1%  $R_o$  interval (Fig. 4d). Similarly, the hydrocarbon





Fig. 5. Source rock potential of the Es4, Es3, and Es1 members in the Liaohe Western Depression. (a) TOC box plot. (b-d) (S<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>2</sub>) versus TOC plot.



**Fig. 6.** Diagram of *HI* vs.  $T_{max}$  indicating the OM types of the Shahejie lacustrine source rocks.




Fig. 7. Diagram showing the macerals of the Shahejie lacustrine source rocks.


generation rate ( $r_{\rm g}$ ) represents the variations of HI per 0.1%  $R_{\rm o}$  interval.

$$r_{\rm e} = \frac{\rm d(GPI)}{\rm dR_{\rm o}} \tag{14}$$

$$r_{\rm g} = \frac{{\rm d}(HI)}{{\rm d}R_{\rm o}} \tag{15}$$

Thus far, the HGE model of source rocks has been quantitatively established. The HGE characteristics of source rocks with different kerogen types are obviously different, as shown in the differences in their  $GPI_0$  and the thresholds, capacity, and rates of HGE in the model. Combined with the thickness, TOC content, and density of source rocks, the HGE intensities ( $I_g$  and  $I_e$ ) can be calculated using Eqs. (16) and (17). The HGE amounts ( $Q_g$  and  $Q_e$ ) can be obtained by integrating the HGE intensities over the whole area of the source rock, as shown in Eqs. (18) and (19). The residual hydrocarbon amount ( $Q_r$ ) can be obtained using Eq. (20).

Petroleum Science 21 (2024) 14-30



**Fig. 8.** Optical micrographs showing the organic petrological features of source rocks. (a) Laminated texture, organic-rich grayish—brown oil shale from Well G8 (1435.8 m) in the Es4 member under transmitted, reflected, and fluorescent light. (b) Laminated texture, algae-rich dark-gray mudstone from Well Shuang 202 (4641.9 m) in the Es3 member under transmitted, reflected, and fluorescent light. (c) Nonstructured vitrinite, dark-gray calcareous mudstone from Well Shu 74 (1034.6 m) in the Es3 member under transmitted, reflected, and fluorescent light. (d) Laminated texture, brown—gray calcareous shale from Well M31 (2172.7 m) in the Es1+2 member under transmitted, reflected, and fluorescent light.

$$I_{g} = \int_{R_{o}} 10^{-3} \cdot q_{g} \cdot H \cdot \rho \cdot \text{TOC}_{o} \cdot d(R_{o})$$
(16)

$$I_{e} = \int_{R_{o}} 10^{-3} \cdot q_{e} \cdot H \cdot \rho \cdot \text{TOC}_{o} \cdot d(R_{o})$$
(17)

$$Q_{g} = \iint_{S R_{o}} 10^{-13} \cdot q_{g} \cdot H \cdot \rho \cdot \text{TOC} \cdot d(R_{o}) d(S)$$
(18)

$$Q_{e} = \iint_{S} \prod_{R_{o}} 10^{-13} \cdot q_{e} \cdot H \cdot \rho \cdot \text{TOC} \cdot d(R_{o}) d(S)$$
(19)

$$Q_{\rm r} = Q_{\rm g} - Q_{\rm e} \tag{20}$$

where  $q_g$  and  $q_e$  are expressed in mg/g TOC;  $I_g$  and  $I_e$  are expressed in 10<sup>4</sup> t/km<sup>2</sup>;  $Q_g$ ,  $Q_e$ , and  $Q_r$  are expressed in 10<sup>8</sup> t; H, S, and  $\rho$  are the thickness, area, and density of source rocks, expressed in m, m<sup>2</sup>, and g/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively; TOC and  $R_o$  are expressed in %.




Fig. 9. Diagram of T<sub>max</sub> versus depth and R<sub>o</sub> versus depth showing the thermal maturity. (a-c) T<sub>max</sub> versus burial depth. (d-f) R<sub>o</sub> versus burial depth.

## 4. Results

#### 4.1. OM abundance

The TOC of the Es4 source rock in the Liaohe Western Depression varies greatly, ranging from 0.35% to 12.4% (average of 3.74%) (Fig. 5a). The  $S_1 + S_2$  value accounts for 0.25–79 mg/g (average of 19 mg/g). According to the evaluation criteria for continental source rocks (Huang et al., 1984; Peters, 1986), the Es4 source rock is a good-to-excellent source rock (Fig. 5b). The TOC of the Es3 source rock accounts for 0.35%–6.82% (average of 1.85%) (Fig. 5a), and its  $S_1 + S_2$  value accounts for 0.28%–89.2% (average of 5.4%), indicating that it is a good source rock (Fig. 5c). The TOC of the Es1 source rock accounts for 0.21%–5.05% (average of 1.8%) (Fig. 5a), and the  $S_1 + S_2$  value accounts for 0.28%–39.8% (average of 5.1%), showing that it is a good source rock (Fig. 5d).

#### 4.2. OM type

The variation between *HI* and  $T_{max}$  can be used to classify the kerogen types (Espitalie et al., 1984). As illustrated in Fig. 6, the OM types of Shahejie lacustrine source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression are diverse. The kerogen types of the Es4, Es3, and Es1 source rock are mainly types I–II<sub>1</sub> (~67%), II<sub>1</sub>–II<sub>2</sub> (~90%), and II–III (~98%), respectively.

Fig. 7 exhibits the macerals of the Paleogene Shahejie lacustrine source rocks. In the Es4 member, the sapropelic group is the dominant maceral, including amorphous bodies and alginate, containing a small amount of exinite. The Es3 and Es1 source rocks

have relatively complex macerals, mainly sapropelic group, followed by vitrinite and inertinite. From the Es4 to Es1 members, the exinite content decreases, whereas the vitrinite and inertinite contents increase.

#### 4.3. Organic petrographic

The micrographs of the Shahejie lacustrine shale and mudstone samples under transmitted, reflected, and fluorescent light show the development of a well-laminated texture (Fig. 8). Dark argillaceous/ organic laminae are interbedded with bright carbonate laminae, including dark bioclasts (Fig. 8a and b). The organic-rich dark laminae formed by the degradation of algae show an obvious brownish-yellow color under fluorescent light. Nonstructured vitrinite is common in the Es3 sample (Fig. 8c). The sapropelic group of the Es1 sample is mainly composed of amorphous bodies, mixed with argillaceous materials to form dark lamina (Fig. 8d). The laminated texture is widely developed in the source rocks of the Liaohe Western Depression, which is favorable for hydrocarbon generation.

## 4.4. Thermal maturity

 $T_{\text{max}}$  and  $R_0$  are widely used as indexes of thermal maturity (Tissot and Welte, 1984). From the Es4 to Es1 source rocks, the  $T_{\text{max}}$  values are 422–456 °C, 421–478 °C, and 417–468 °C, with averages of 436, 438, and 432 °C, respectively (Fig. 9a–c). The  $R_0$  values are mainly 0.21%–0.84%, 0.30%–1.56%, and 0.24%–0.99%, with averages of 0.46%, 0.58%, and 0.49%, respectively (Fig. 9d–f). Results indicate that the Es4 and Es1 source rocks are low maturity to mature, while

Petroleum Science 21 (2024) 14-30




Fig. 10. Distribution characteristics of the activation energy of different kerogen types in the Liaohe Western Depression. (a, b) Type I kerogen. (c–e) Type II<sub>1</sub> kerogen. (f, g) Type II<sub>2</sub> kerogen. (h, i) Type III kerogen.

the thermal maturity of the Es3 source rock varies greatly from low maturity to high maturity.

2015). Therefore, it is necessary to characterize the hydrocarbon generation capacities of source rocks by using abundant Rock-Eval/ $TOC/R_o$  datasets.

# 4.5. Hydrocarbon generation kinetics of different kerogen type

The activation energy (E) and frequency factor (A) are related to the nature of kerogen and can reflect the capacity of hydrocarbon transformation, which are obtained by the hydrocarbon generation kinetics experiments combined with the KINETICS software (Peters et al., 2015; Chen and Jiang, 2016). To minimize the influence of lithology, mudstone samples with different kerogen types from the Liaohe Western Depression were collected to compare their differences in hydrocarbon generation kinetics, except for the type I oil shale sample of well D16 (Fig. 10). The E distribution of types I and II<sub>1</sub> kerogen is concentrated in 180–200 kcal/mol (Fig. 10a–e). The dominant *E* of type II<sub>2</sub> kerogen is significantly larger than type I kerogen, ranging from 220 to 240 kcal/mol (Fig. 10f and g). The type III kerogen has a dispersed *E* distribution, ranging from 150 to 280 kcal/mol, indicating that it has a complex chemical structure (Fig. 10h and i). The lower E of types I and  $II_1$  kerogen indicates that they are more likely to generate hydrocarbons. A detail that must be noticed is that due to the complex molecular structures of kerogen, hydrocarbon generation kinetics experiments on limited source rocks may result in differences in the kinetics properties even for the same kerogen type (Tegelaar and Noble, 1994; Chen and Jiang,

# 4.6. Optimal fitted HGE model of different OM-type source rocks

Considering the constraints of kerogen types and the thermal maturity of Paleogene lacustrine source rocks, abundant Rock-Eval/  $TOC/R_0$  datasets of source rocks with different kerogen types from immature to the mature stage were collected from PetroChina Liaohe Oilfield Company to improve the reliability of the HGE model. The pyrolysis experiment results were supplemented to indicate the variation of the HGE process of source rocks from the mature to overmature stage, and the HGE models under complete maturity sequence were established. The details of the pyrolysis experiment are reported in a previous study (Hui et al., 2023). When the Rock-Eval/TOC/ $R_0$  datasets are composed of abundant samples with the same kerogen type but different thermal maturity, the datasets need to be calibrated to ensure that they conform to similar maturation paths. As shown in Fig. 11, the fitted HI curves show good fitting relationships with measured HI as  $R_0$  increases. The  $HI_0$  of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks are 790, 510, 270, and 85 mg/g TOC, respectively, indicating that the hydrocarbon potential was gradually depleted (Chen et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2022, Fig. 11a, c, e, and g). From type I to III source rocks, the  $\beta_1$  of the four




Fig. 11. Regression models of HI versus Ro fitted by the Rock-Eval datasets of different kerogen types and their corresponding residual distribution.

fitted curves are 0.64, 0.68, 0.87, and 1.20, respectively, indicating the maturity of the four types of source rocks corresponding to a large amount of hydrocarbon generation. The residuals of the regression models of different types of source rocks represent the difference between the measured *HI* and the fitted *HI*, which are evenly and symmetrically distributed on both sides of 0, indicating that the models are unbiased (Fig. 11b, d, f, and h). Similarly, the fitted *GPI* curves exhibit good correlations with the measured *GPI*, with  $R^2$  ranging from 0.81 to 0.91 (Fig. 12). The *GPI*<sub>0</sub> of different types of kerogen is equal to *HI*<sub>0</sub>. The residual distribution of type I source rock is slightly asymmetric, whereas that of the other source rocks is relatively uniform. The optimal parameters in *GPI* and *HI* regression models are illustrated in Figs. 11 and 12.

#### 5. Discussion

## 5.1. Control of kerogen type on HGE pattern

Upon the optimal regression models of *GPI* and *HI*, the HGE models of types I–III source rocks were established quantitatively by numerical analysis and compared with the geological conditions (Fig. 13). The *GPI*<sub>0</sub> of types I–III source rocks gradually decreases (Chen et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2022), which fit the fact that the capacity of hydrocarbon generation decreases from type I to III source rocks. The HGT and HET of different types of source rocks vary significantly. The HGTs of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks are 0.42%, 0.50%, 0.62%, and 0.74%  $R_0$ , respectively. The HETs of types I,




Fig. 12. Regression models of GPI versus Ro fitted by the Rock-Eval datasets of different kerogen types and their corresponding residual distribution.

II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks are 0.49%, 0.56%, 0.69%, and 0.87%  $R_0$ , respectively. The increasing HGT and HET of the four types of source rocks indicate the increasing difficulty for source rocks to generate and expel hydrocarbons (Tegelaar and Noble, 1994; Chen et al., 2015), which is comparable to the results of the hydrocarbon generation kinetics (Fig. 10). Types I and II<sub>1</sub> kerogens have a narrower *E* distribution and a lower average *E* than types II<sub>2</sub> and III kerogens, thereby verifying their early onset of hydrocarbon generation and narrow hydrocarbon generation window. This

conclusion also provides theoretical support for the exploration of low-maturity oil in the Es4 member in the northern part of the study area.

As the maturity increases, types I and II<sub>1</sub> source rocks begin to generate and discharge hydrocarbons rapidly after reaching the HGT and HET. Their  $T_R$  and  $E_R$  increase rapidly, while the  $r_g$  and  $r_e$  increase rapidly and then decrease rapidly (Fig. 14a–d). In contrast, the  $T_R$ ,  $E_R$ ,  $r_g$ , and  $r_e$  of type II<sub>2</sub> source rocks are obviously slower and lower than types I and II<sub>1</sub> source rocks. The OM of type III kerogen




Fig. 13. Optimized HGE models of types I-III source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression.

mainly comes from terrestrial higher plants; its  $T_R$ ,  $E_R$ ,  $r_g$ , and  $r_e$  are obviously different from types I–III source rocks. Although the HGT and HET of type III source rock are relatively backward, it has considerable gas generation potential, which is mainly due to its unique hydrocarbon generation mechanism, namely, defunctionalization (Ungerer, 1990; Zhang et al., 2020). The  $T_R$ ,  $E_R$ ,  $r_g$ , and  $r_e$  of Paleogene lacustrine source rocks vary with kerogen type and thermal maturity, which lead to the complicated distribution of hydrocarbon resources in the Liaohe Western Depression.


#### 5.2. Construction of the HGE history of Shahejie source rocks

In previous studies, source rocks were considered to generate hydrocarbons when they reach a certain depth or maturity despite their kerogen types (Guo et al., 2012, 2013). Based on twodimensional basin modeling, the HGE history of two wells (Shu123 and SS3) is restored considering the source rock types in each member (Fig. 15). For Shu123, the Es4 source rock is mainly type I kerogen, which reached the HGT ( $R_0 = 0.42\%$ ) and HET ( $R_0 = 0.49\%$ ) at 41 and 39 Ma, respectively, and the corresponding burial depths were 1250 and 1600 m, respectively. The kerogen of Es3 source rock is dominated by type II<sub>1</sub>, and the required maturity for HGE becomes larger. Therefore, only the lower source rock of the Es3 member generated and expelled hydrocarbons at ~32 and ~24 Ma, respectively, and the corresponding burial depths were 1980 and 2470 m, respectively. The Es1 source rock has not reached the HET (Fig. 15a).

The SS3 well had experienced continuous deep burial and reached a maximum maturity of  $R_0 = 1.48\%$ . The Es4 source rock is type II<sub>2</sub> kerogen, which reached the HGT ( $R_0 = 0.62\%$ ) and HET ( $R_0 = 0.69\%$ ) at 40.2 and 39.5 Ma, respectively. The Es3 source rock is also type II<sub>2</sub>, which reached the HGT and HET at 38.5 and 37.8 Ma, respectively. Alternatively, the Es1 source rock is type I, which made the onsets of the HGE of the Es1 source rock advance to 29 and 27.5 Ma (Fig. 15b).

# 5.3. Reliability of TOC recovery of different kerogen types

Fig. 16 illustrates the variations of the TOC recovery coefficient (K) obtained using different methods with increasing maturity. As shown in Fig. 16a,  $K_1$  is obtained by the pyrolysis experiment, which



**Fig. 14.** Variation of (**a**) transformation ratio ( $T_R$ ), (**b**) expulsion ratio ( $E_R$ ), (**c**) hydrocarbon generation rate ( $r_g$ ), and (**d**) hydrocarbon expulsion rate ( $r_e$ ) with increasing thermal maturity of types I–III source rocks.





Fig. 15. Simulated burial and thermal history of Shahejie Formation in the Liaohe Western Depression. (a) Well Shu123. (b) Well SS3.



**Fig. 16.** Variation of TOC recovery coefficient *K* with the increasing maturity. (a) *K*<sub>1</sub> obtained by the hydrocarbon generation thermal simulation (according to Liaohe Oilfield Company). (b) *K*<sub>2</sub> obtained by Eqs. (10) and (11).

represents the ratio of the TOC<sub>o</sub> to the residual TOC at each simulated temperature. When  $R_o = 0.50\%$ , the  $K_1$  of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks starts to increase. Then, the increase of  $K_1$  value becomes rapidly and then gradually slows down with the increasing

maturity. The maximum  $K_1$  of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks can reach 3.05, 2.00, 1.50, and 1.25, respectively. In this study,  $K_2$  is recovered using Eq. (10). The maximum  $K_2$  of I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks can reach 2.92, 1.74, 1.29, and 1.05, respectively, which is




Fig. 17. HGE intensities of Shahejie lacustrine source rocks in the Liaohe Western Depression.




Fig. 18. Evaluation of different types and different levels of oil/gas resources. (a) Es1 member. (b) Es3 member. (c) Es4 member.

comparable to the previous study (Zhu et al., 2022, Fig. 16b). The  $T_R$  and f of organic matter are considered in the recovery of TOC<sub>o</sub> in

this study, which makes the *K* value slightly smaller than that in the pyrolysis experiment.

#### 5.4. Assessment of hydrocarbon resource potentials

The HGE capacities of Shahejie lacustrine source rocks are quantitatively characterized. In combination with the effective thickness (H), TOC, and density of the source rocks, the hydrocarbon generation intensity  $(I_g)$  and hydrocarbon expulsion intensity  $(I_e)$  are calculated, as shown in Fig. 17. From the Es4 to the Es1 members, the center of  $I_g$  and  $I_e$  gradually shifted from north to south. The  $I_g$  and  $I_e$  of the Es3 source rock are very large because of the extensive effective thickness and high maturity and can be greater than 5000  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> t/km<sup>2</sup> in the Qingshui sag. According to Eqs. (10)–(15), the maximum hydrocarbon generation amount  $(Q_g)$ of Es1, Es3, and Es4 source rocks can reach 149.35  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> t, 545.68  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> t, and 125.75  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> t, respectively. The hydrocarbon expulsion amount  $(Q_e)$  of Es1, Es3, and Es4 source rocks can reach  $40.05 \times 10^8$  t,  $418.35 \times 10^8$  t, and  $29.86 \times 10^8$  t, respectively. The residual hydrocarbon amount ( $Q_r$ ) can reach 109.31  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> t,  $127.33 \times 10^8$  t, and  $95.89 \times 10^8$  t, respectively.

Under the control of buoyancy, the expelled hydrocarbons migrate to traps with good physical properties to form conventional hydrocarbon resources (White, 1885) or migrate to tight reservoirs to form tight hydrocarbon resources (Shanley et al., 2004; Pang et al., 2021). Residual hydrocarbons remain in place to form shale oil/gas resources (Masters, 1979). In accordance with the Third Resource Evaluation of Liaohe Oilfield Company, the hydrocarbon accumulation coefficients of conventional/tight hydrocarbon resources and shale oil resources are chosen as 9.6% and 19.0%, respectively. The coefficients of mobility of conventional/tight hydrocarbon resources and shale oil resources are chosen as 30.3% and 28.6%, respectively (Jarvie, 2012). Additionally, 10%, 20%, and 40% of the recoverable coefficients represent the realistic, expected, and prospective resources, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 18, the realistic conventional/tight hydrocarbon resources in the Es3 member can reach  $1.21 \times 10^8$  t, and the realistic shale oil resources can reach  $0.69 \times 10^8$  t. The realistic conventional/tight hydrocarbon resources of Es1 and Es4 members are  $0.12 \times 10^8$  t and  $0.09 \times 10^8$  t, respectively, and the realistic resources of shale oil resources are  $0.59 \times 10^8$  t and  $0.52 \times 10^8$  t, respectively, thereby offering great unconventional shale oil and gas exploration prospects. It must be noted that the resource evaluation results are highly dependent on geological data. The accuracy of the resource evaluation results will improve with the improvement of basic geological data.

# 6. Conclusion

In this study, the data-driven HGE models of source rocks with different kerogen types were established using abundant Rock-Eval/TOC/ $R_0$  datasets. By integrating conventional geochemical analysis, numerical analysis, hydrocarbon generation kinetics, and basin modeling, the capacity and history of the HGE of source rocks with different kerogen types were revealed. The main conclusions of this study are as follows.

- (1) From the perspective of Es4, Es3, to Es1 source rocks, the TOC contents gradually decrease, and the kerogen types are predominantly types I–II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>1</sub>–II<sub>2</sub>, and II–III, respectively. The Es3 source rock ranges from low mature to high mature. The Es4 and Es1 source rocks are low-mature to mature. The laminated texture was developed in Shahejie lacustrine source rocks.
- (2) The hydrocarbon generation kinetics of Es4, Es3, and Es1 source rocks exhibit differences. The *E* of types I and II<sub>1</sub> kerogen is concentrated in 180–200 kcal/mol. The *E* of type II<sub>2</sub> kerogen is concentrated in 220–240 kcal/mol. While the *E*

of type III kerogen has a wide range of 150–280 kcal/mol, indicating that its chemical structure is more complex than that of types I–II kerogen.

- (3) The optimized HGE models of source rocks with different kerogen types are established. The *GPI*<sub>0</sub> of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks is 790, 510, 270, and 85 mg/g TOC, respectively. The HGTs of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks are 0.42%, 0.50%, 0.62%, and 0.74%  $R_0$ , respectively. The HETs of types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III source rocks are 0.49%, 0.56%, 0.69%, and 0.87%  $R_0$ , respectively. As the maturity increases, the hydrocarbons are generated and expelled quickly from types I and II<sub>1</sub> source rocks, and the OM is completely transformed at 1.20%  $R_0$ . The  $T_R$ ,  $E_R$ ,  $r_g$ , and  $r_e$  of types I<sub>2</sub> and III source rocks are slower than types I and II<sub>1</sub> source rocks. The maximum TOC recovery coefficient (*K*) for types I, II<sub>1</sub>, II<sub>2</sub>, and III kerogen obtained in this study can reach 2.92, 1.74, 1.29, and 1.05, respectively.
- (4) Different types (conventional/tight/shale oil and gas) and levels (realistic/expected/perspective resources) of hydrocarbon resources of the Es4, Es3, and Es1 members are evaluated. Considering the accumulation, mobility, and recoverability coefficients, the Es3 member has considerable realistic conventional and unconventional hydrocarbon resources. The Es4 and Es1 members have considerable realistic unconventional shale oil resources.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number U19B6003-02), the Cooperation Program of PetroChina Liaohe Oilfield Company (grant Number HX20180604), and the AAPG Foundation Grants-in-Aid Program (grant number 22269437). This study has benefited considerably from PetroChina Liaohe Oilfield Company for data support. We also thank the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their professional suggestions and comments.

# References

- Behar, F., Kressmann, S., Rudkiewicz, J.L., Vandenbroucke, M., Eckardt, C.B., Maxwell, J.R., Larter, S.R., Manning, D.A.C., 1992. Experimental simulation in a confined system and kinetic modelling of kerogen and oil cracking. Org. Geochem. 19 (1–3), 173–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(92)90035-V.
- Bordenave, M.L., Espitalie, J., Leplat, J.L., Vandenbroucke, M., 1993. Screening techniques for source rock evaluation. In: Bordenave, M.L. (Ed.), Appl. Petrol. Geochem, pp. 217–278.
- Braun, R.L., Burnham, A.K., 1987. Analysis of chemical reaction kinetics using a distribution of activation energies and simpler models. Energy Fuel 1, 153–161. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef00002a003.
- Chen, J.Q., Pang, X.Q., Pang, H., Chen, Z.H., Jiang, C.Q., 2018. Hydrocarbon evaporative loss evaluation of lacustrine shale oil based on mass balance method: permian Lucaogou Formation in Jimusaer Depression, Junggar Basin. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 91, 422–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2018.01.021.
- Chen, J.P., Sun, Y.G., Zhong, N.N., Huang, Z.K., Deng, C.P., Xie, L.J., Han, H., 2014. Hydrocarbon generation and expulsion efficiency and model of lacustrine source rocks under geological conditions. J. Geol. 88 (11), 2005–2032. https:// doi.org/10.19762/j.cnki.dizhixuebao.2014.11.001 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Chen, J.Q., Zhang, X.G., Chen, Z.H., Pang, X.Q., Yang, H.J., Zhao, Z.F., Pang, B., Ma, K.Y., 2021. Hydrocarbon expulsion evaluation based on pyrolysis Rock-Eval data: implications for Ordovician carbonates exploration in the Tabei Uplift. Tarim. J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 196, 107614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2020.107614.
- Chen, Z.H., Jiang, C.Q., 2015. A data driven model for studying kerogen kinetics with unconventional shale application examples from Canadian sedimentary basins. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 67, 795–803. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.07.004.

- Chen, Z.H., Jiang, C.Q., 2016. A revised method for organic porosity estimation in shale reservoirs using Rock-Eval data: example from Duvernay Formation in the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. AAPG Bull. 100, 405–422.. https://doi.org/ 10.1306/08261514173.
- Chen, Z.H., Liu, X.J., Osadetz, K.G., 2019. Petroleum generation kinetic models for late ordovician kukersite yeoman formation source rocks, williston basin (southern saskatchewan), Canada. Fuel 241, 234–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.fuel.2018.11.154.
- Chen, Z.H., Qiao, R.Z., Li, C.Y., Wang, D.Y., Gao, Y., 2022. Hydrocarbon generation potential and model of the deep lacustrine source rocks in the Dongying Depression, Bohai Bay Basin. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 140, 105656. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2022.105656.
- Chen, Z.H., Wang, T.G., Liu, Q., Zhang, S.C., Zhang, L.Y., 2015. Quantitative evaluation of potential organic-matter porosity and hydrocarbon generation and expulsion from mudstone in continental lake basins: a case study of Dongying sag, eastern China. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 66, 906–924. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.marpetgeo.2015.07.027.
- Espitalie, J., Marquis, F., Barsony, I., 1984. Geochemical logging. In: Voorhess, K.J. (Ed.), Analytical Pyrolysis. Butterworths, Boston, pp. 53–79. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/B978-0-408-01417-5.50013-5.
- Guo, X.W., Liu, K.Y., He, S., Song, G.Q., Wang, Y.S., Hao, X.F., Wang, B.J., 2012. Petroleum generation and charge history of the northern Dongying Depression, Bohai Bay Basin, China: insight from integrated fluid inclusion analysis and basin modelling. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 32, 21–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.marpetgeo.2011.12.007.
- Guo, Y.C., Pang, X.Q., Dong, Y.X., Jiang, Z.X., Chen, D.X., Jiang, F.J., 2013. Hydrocarbon generation and migration in the Nanpu Sag, Bohai Bay Basin, eastern China: insight from basin and petroleum system modeling. J. Asian Earth Sci. 77, 140–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2013.08.033.
- He, W.T., Sun, Y.H., Shan, X.L., 2021. Organic matter evolution in pyrolysis experiments of oil shale under high pressure: guidance for in situ conversion of oil shale in the Songliao Basin. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol. 155, 105091. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jaap.2021.105091.
- Hu, T., Pang, X.Q., Jiang, F.J., Wang, Q.F., Liu, X.H., Wang, Z., Jiang, S., Wu, G.Y., Li, C.J., Xu, T.W., Li, M.W., Yu, J.W., Zhang, C.X., 2021. Movable oil content evaluation of lacustrine organic-rich shales: methods and a novel quantitative evaluation model. Earth Sci. Rev. 214, 103545. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.earscirev.2021.103545.
- Hu, T., Pang, X.Q., Jiang, F.J., Zhang, C.X., Wu, G.Y., Hu, M.L., Jiang, L., Wang, Q.F., Xu, T.W., Hu, Y., Jiang, S., Wang, W.Y., Li, M.W., 2022a. Dynamic continuous hydrocarbon accumulation (DCHA): existing theories and a new unified accumulation model. Earth Sci. Rev. 232, 104109. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.earscirev.2022.104109.
- Hu, T., Wu, G.Y., Xu, Z., Pang, X.Q., Liu, Y., Yu, S., 2022b. Potential resources of conventional, tight, and shale oil and gas from Paleogene Wenchang Formation source rocks in the Huizhou Depression. Adv. Geo-Energy Res. 6, 402–414. https://doi.org/10.46690/ager.2022.05.05.
- Huang, D.F., Li, J.C., Zhang, D.J., 1984. Evolution and Hydrocarbon Generation Mechanism of Continental Organic Matter. Petroleum Industry Press, Beijing (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hui, S.S., Pang, X.Q., Liu, G.D., Zhou, X.L., Hu, T., Mei, S.X., 2022. Characteristics of hydrocarbon source rocks and fine correlation of oil sources in Shahejie Formation of Liaohe Western Depression. Geoscience 1–28. https://doi.org/ 10.3799/dqkx.2022.090 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hui, S.S., Pang, X.Q., Pang, H., Li, C.R., Zhou, X.L., Hu, T., Shi, K.Y., Li, M., Mei, S.X., Yuan, W., Cheng, J.P., 2023. A data-driven approach to the unified evaluation of conventional and unconventional hydrocarbon resources: application to lowmature to mature source rocks in the Liaohe western depression. Minerals 13, 390. https://doi.org/10.3390/min13030390.
- Jarvie, D.M., 2012. Shale resource systems for oil/gas: part 2-shale-oil resource systems. In: Breyer, J.A. (Ed.), Shale Reservoirs-Giant Resources for the 21st Century, vol. 97. AAPG Mem, pp. 89–119. https://doi.org/10.1306/ 13321447M973489.
- Jarvie, D.M., Hill, R.J., Ruble, T.E., Pollastro, R.M., 2007. Unconventional shale-gas systems: the Mississippian Barnett Shale of north-central Texas as one model for thermogenic shale-gas assessment. AAPG Bull. 91 (4), 475–499. https:// doi.org/10.1306/12190606068.
- Justwan, H., Dahl, B., 2005. Quantitative hydrocarbon potential mapping and organofacies study in the greater balder area, Norwegian north sea. In: Dore, A.G., Vining, B.A. (Eds.), Petroleum Geology: North-West Europe and Global Perspectives—Proceedings of the 6th Petroleum Geology Conference, pp. 1317–1329. https://doi.org/10.1144/0061317.
- Katz, B.J., Lin, F., 2014. Lacustrine basin unconventional resource plays: key differences. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 56, 255–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.marpetgeo.2014.02.013.
- Li, C.R., Pang, X.Q., Huo, Z.P., Wang, E.Z., Xue, N., 2020. A revised method for reconstructing the hydrocarbon generation and expulsion history and evaluating the hydrocarbon resource potential: example from the first member of the Qingshankou Formation in the Northern Songliao Basin, Northeast China. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 121, 104577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104577.
- Li, Y.J., Song, L.H., Tang, Y.J., Zuo, J.P., Xue, D.J., 2022. Evaluating the mechanical properties of anisotropic shale containing bedding and natural fractures with discrete element modeling. Int. J. Coal Sci. Technol. 9, 18. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s40789-022-00473-5.
- Ma, Z.L., Zheng, L.J., Xu, X.H., Bao, F., Yu, X.L., 2017. Thermal simulation experiment

of organic matter-rich shale and implication for organic pore formation and evolution. Petrol. Res. 2 (4), 347–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptlrs.2017.04.005.

- Masters, J.A., 1979. Deep basin gas trap, Western Canada. AAPG Bull. 63, 152–181. https://doi.org/10.1306/C1EA55CB-16C9-11D7-8645000102C1865D.
- Modica, C.J., Lapierre, S.G., 2012. Estimation of kerogen porosity in source rocks as a function of thermal transformation: example from the Mowry Shale in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming. AAPG Bull. 96 (1), 87–108. https://doi.org/ 10.1306/04111110201.
- Pang, X.Q., Hu, T., Larter, S., Jiang, Z.X., Li, M.W., Wu, L.Y., Liu, K.Y., Jiang, S., Wang, W.Y., Hu, Q.H., Zhang, K., Li, Z., Bai, H., 2022. Hydrocarbon accumulation depth limit and implications for potential resources prediction. Gondwana Res. 103, 389–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2021.10.018.Pang, X.Q., Jia, C.Z., Wang, W.Y., Chen, Z.X., Li, M.W., Jiang, F.J., Hu, T., Wang, K.,
- Pang, X.Q., Jia, C.Z., Wang, W.Y., Chen, Z.X., Li, M.W., Jiang, F.J., Hu, T., Wang, K., Wang, Y.X., 2021. Buoyance-driven hydrocarbon accumulation depth and its implication for unconventional resource prediction. Geosci. Front. 12 (4), 101133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2020.11.019.
- Pang, X.Q., Jia, C.Z., Zhang, K., Li, M.W., Wang, Y.W., Peng, J.W., Li, B.Y., Chen, J.Q., 2020. The dead line for oil and gas and implication for fossil resource prediction. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 12 (1), 577–590. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-577-2020.
- Pang, X.Q., Li, M.W., Li, S.M., Jin, Z.J., 2005. Geochemistry of petroleum systems in the niuzhuang south slope of Bohai Bay Basin: Part 3. Estimating hydrocarbon expulsion from the Shahejie Formation. Org. Geochem. 36, 497–510. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.12.001.
- Peng, J.W., Pang, X.Q., Shi, H.S., Peng, H.J., Xiao, S., Yu, Q.H., Wu, L.Y., 2016. Hydrocarbon generation and expulsion characteristics of Eocene source rocks in the Huilu area, northern Pearl River Mouth basin, South China Sea: implications for tight oil potential. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 72, 463–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.marpetgeo.2016.02.006.
- Peters, K., Burnham, A.K., Walters, C.C., 2015. Petroleum generation kinetics: single versus multiple heating-ramp open-system pyrolysis. AAPG Bull. 99 (4), 591–616. https://doi.org/10.1306/111414080.
- Peters, K.E., 1986. Guidelines for evaluating petroleum source rock using programmed pyrolysis. AAPG Bull. 70, 318–329. https://doi.org/10.1306/94885688-1704-11D7-8645000102C1865D.
   Petersen, H.I., Bojesen-Koefoed, J.A., Mathiesen, A., 2010. Variations in composition,
- Petersen, H.I., Bojesen-Koefoed, J.A., Mathiesen, A., 2010. Variations in composition, petroleum potential and kinetics of Ordovician-Miocene type I and type I-II source rocks (oil shales): implications for hydrocarbon generation characteristics. J. Petrol. Geol. 33, 19–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-5457.2010.00462.x.
- Riediger, C., Carrelliand, G.G., Zonneveld, J.P., 2004. Hydrocarbon source rock characterization and thermal maturity of the Upper Triassic Baldonnel and Pardonet formations, northeastern British Columbia. Canada. B. Can. Petrol. Geol. 52, 277–301. https://doi.org/10.2113/52.4.277.
- Shanley, K.W., Cluff, R.M., Robinson, J.W., 2004. Factors controlling prolific gas production from low-permeability sandstone reservoirs: implications for resource assessment, prospect development, and risk analysis. AAPG Bull. 88, 1083–1121. https://doi.org/10.1306/03250403051.
- Snowdon, L.R., 1991. Oil from Type III organic matter: resinite revisited. Org. Geochem. 17, 743–747. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(91)90018-F.
- Shi, K.Y., Chen, J.Q., Pang, X.Q., Jiang, F.J., Hui, S.S., Pang, H., Ma, K.Y., Cong, Q., 2022. Effect of wettability of shale on CO2 sequestration with enhanced gas recovery in shale reservoir: implications from molecular dynamics simulation. J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 107, 104798. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2022.104798.
- Tegelaar, E.W., Noble, R.A., 1994. Kinetics of hydrocarbon generation as a function of the molecular structure of kerogen as revealed by pyrolysis-gas chromatography. Org. Geochem. 22, 543–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(94) 90125-2.

Tissot, B.P., Welte, D.H., 1984. Petroleum Formation and Occurrence. Springer-Verlag.

- Tong, H.M., Yu, F.S., Geng, C.B., 2008. Characteristics and evolution of strike-slip tectonics of the Liaohe western sag, Bohai Bay Basin. Petrol. Sci. 5 (3), 223–229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12182-008-0034-0.
- Ungerer, P., 1990. State of the art of research in kinetic model of oil formation and expulsion. Org. Geochem. 16, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(90) 90022-R.
- Wang, E.Z., Feng, Y., Guo, T.L., Li, M.W., 2022. Oil content and resource quality evaluation methods for lacustrine shale: a review and a novel threedimensional quality evaluation model. Earth Sci. Rev. 232, 104134. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.104134.
- Wang, E.Z., Liu, G.Y., Pang, X.Q., Li, C.R., Zhao, Z.F., Feng, Y., Wu, Z.Y., 2020. An improved hydrocarbon generation potential method for quantifying hydrocarbon generation and expulsion characteristics with application example of Paleogene Shahejie Formation, Nanpu Sag, Bohai Bay Basin. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 112, 104106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.104106.
- Wang, M., Lu, S.F., Xue, H.T., 2011. Kinetic simulation of hydrocarbon generation from lacustrine type I kerogen from the Songliao Basin: model comparison and geological application. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 28 (9), 1714–1726. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2011.07.004.
- Wei, Z.F., Zou, Y.R., Cai, Y.L., Wang, L., Luo, X.R., Peng, P.A., 2012. Kinetics of oil grouptype generation and expulsion: an integrated application to Dongying Depression, Bohai Bay Basin. China. Org. Geochem. 52, 1–12. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2012.08.006.
- White, I.C., 1885. The geology of natural gas. Science 6 (128), 42–44. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.ns-5.125.521.

#### S.-S. Hui, X.-Q. Pang, F.-J. Jiang et al.

- Yang, M.H., Zuo, Y.H., Yan, K.N., Zhou, Y.S., Zhang, Y.X., Zhang, C.F., 2022. Hydrocarbon generation history constrained by thermal history and hydrocarbon generation kinetics: a case study of the Dongpu Depression, Bohai Bay Basin, China. Petrol. Sci. 19, 472–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2021.10.009.
- Yang, Z., Zou, C.N., Gu, Z.D., Yang, F., Li, J.R., Wang, X.N., 2022. Geological characteristics and main challenges of onshore deep oil and gas development in China. Adv. Geo-Energy Res. 6 (3), 264–266. https://doi.org/10.46690/ ager.2022.03.09.
- Zhang, Y.P., Li, Y.H., Guo, W., Li, Y.H., Dang, H.L., 2020. Differential evolution and the influencing factors of low-maturity terrestrial shale with different types of kerogen: a case study of a Jurassic shale from the northern margin of Qaidam Basin, China. Int. J. Coal Geol. 230, 103591. https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.coal.2020.103591.
- Zhang, Z., Bao, Z.D., Tong, H.M., Wang, Y., Li, H.W., 2013. Tectonic evolution and its control over deposition in fault basins: a case study of the Western Sag of the Cenozoic Liaohe Depression, eastern China. Petrol. Sci. 10 (3), 269–281. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12182-013-0276-3.
- Zhao, X.Z., Zhou, L.H., Pu, X.G., Jin, F.M., Shi, Z.N., Han, W.Z., Jiang, W.Y., Han, G.M., Zhang, W., Wang, H., Ma, J.Y., 2020. Formation conditions and enrichment model of retained petroleum in lacustrine shale: a case study of the Paleogene

in Huanghua depression, Bohai Bay Basin. China. Petrol. Explor. Dev. 47, 916–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1876-3804(20)60106-9.

- Zheng, D.Y., Pang, X.Q., Ma, X.H., Li, C.R., Zheng, T.Y., Zhou, L.M., 2019. Hydrocarbon generation and expulsion characteristics of the source rocks in the third member of the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation and its effect on conventional and unconventional hydrocarbon resource potential in the Sichuan Basin. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 109, 175–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.marpetgeo.2019.06.014.
- Zhu, C.Z., Gang, W.Z., Li, X.F., Wang, N., Guo, Y., Zhao, X.Z., Wang, Y.F., Pu, X.G., 2022. The sorting effect of hydrodynamics on the geochemical compositions of sedimentary organic matter in a lacustrine rift basin: significance for hydrocarbon exploration on the Qibei slope, Bohai Bay Basin, China. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 141, 105705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2022.105705.
- Zou, C.N., Yang, Z., He, D.B., Wei, Y.S., Li, J., Jia, A.L., Chen, J.J., Zhao, Q., Li, Y.L., Li, J., Yang, S., 2018. Theory, technology and prospects of conventional and unconventional natural gas. Petrol. Explor. Dev. 45 (4), 575–587. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/51876-3804(18)30066-1.
- Cou, C.N., Zhu, R.K., Chen, Z.Q., Ogg, J.G., Wu, S.T., Dong, D.Z., Qiu, Z., Wang, Y.M., Wang, L., Lin, S.H., Cui, J.W., Su, L., Yang, Z., 2019. Organic-matter-rich shales of China. Earth Sci. Rev. 189, 51–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.12.002.